

AVIATION OCCURRENCE REPORT

LEFT WING FAILURE IN FLIGHT

TIERATORN/TIERRA II (ULTRALIGHT) C-IFHO BLOUIN LAKE, QUEBEC 04 SEPTEMBER 1994

REPORT NUMBER A94Q0167

Canadä

MANDATE OF THE TSB

The Canadian Transportation Accident Investigation and Safety Board Act provides the legal framework governing the TSB's activities. Basically, the TSB has a mandate to advance safety in the marine, pipeline, rail, and aviation modes of transportation by:

- conducting independent investigations and, if necessary, public inquiries into transportation occurrences in order to make findings as to their causes and contributing factors;
- reporting publicly on its investigations and public inquiries and on the related findings;
- identifying safety deficiencies as evidenced by transportation occurrences;
- making recommendations designed to eliminate or reduce any such safety deficiencies; and
- conducting special studies and special investigations on transportation safety matters.

It is not the function of the Board to assign fault or determine civil or criminal liability. However, the Board must not refrain from fully reporting on the causes and contributing factors merely because fault or liability might be inferred from the Board's findings.

INDEPENDENCE

To enable the public to have confidence in the transportation accident investigation process, it is essential that the investigating agency be, and be seen to be, independent and free from any conflicts of interest when it investigates accidents, identifies safety deficiencies, and makes safety recommendations. Independence is a key feature of the TSB. The Board reports to Parliament through the President of the Queen's Privy Council for Canada and is separate from other government agencies and departments. Its independence enables it to be fully objective in arriving at its conclusions and recommendations.

The Transportation Safety Board of Canada (TSB) investigated this occurrence for the purpose of advancing transportation safety. It is not the function of the Board to assign fault or determine civil or criminal liability.

Aviation Occurrence Report

Left Wing Failure in Flight

Tieratorn/Tierra II (Ultralight) C-IFHO Blouin Lake, Quebec 04 September 1994

Report Number A94Q0167

Synopsis

The pilot, accompanied by one passenger, took off from Blouin Lake, Quebec, for a pleasure flight. Shortly after take-off, the left wing of the ultralight folded upward and towards the rear fuselage. The aircraft crashed on the west shore of the lake. The two occupants sustained fatal injuries in the impact. The ultralight was substantially damaged.

The Board determined that the left wing of the ultralight failed in flight as a result of a pre-existing structural weakness which could have been caused when the left wing-tip struck the surface of the water shortly before take-off.

Ce rapport est également disponible en français.

Table of Contents

		P	Page		
1.0	Factu	ıal Information	1		
	1.1	History of the Flight	1		
	1.2	Injuries to Persons	1		
	1.3	Damage to Aircraft	1		
	1.4	Other Damage	1		
	1.5	Personnel Information	1		
	1.5.1	Passenger Information	2		
	1.6	Aircraft Information	2		
	1.7	Meteorological Information	3		
	1.8	Aids to Navigation	3		
	1.9	Communications	3		
	1.10	Accident Site	3		
	1.11	Wreckage and Impact Information	3		
	1.12	Medical Information	3		
	1.13	Survival Aspects	3		
	1.14	Tests and Research	4		
	1.15	Additional Information	4		
	1.15.1	Regulations on the Carrying of Passengers in Ultralight Aircraft	4		
2.0	Analy	vsis	5		
3.0	Conc	lusions	7		
	3.1	Findings	7		
	3.2	Causes			
4.0	Safety	y Action	9		
5.0		endices			
	Appendix A - List of Supporting Reports				
	Append	dix B - Glossary	13		

1.0 Factual Information

1.1 History of the Flight

Around 1730 eastern daylight saving time (EDT)¹, the float-equipped ultralight, registration C-IFHO, took off from Blouin Lake, Quebec, for a pleasure flight in accordance with visual flight rules (VFR)². Several persons near the accident site witnessed the last moments of the flight and the crash. They watched for about 45 minutes while the aircraft made several attempts to take off before becoming airborne. On one of the takeoff attempts, the right float lifted off first, and the ultralight banked until the left wing-tip touched the surface of the water. The pilot then reduced power; he made a 360-degree turn, then re-applied full throttle and flew in a southwesterly direction. The pilot then flew over the south end of the lake before making a right turn towards the northeast. After flying about half the length of the lake, the pilot executed a left turn and followed the west shore of the lake. At a height of about 400 feet, a sharp noise was heard, and the left wing folded upward and towards the rear fuselage. The ultralight started to descend rapidly and rotate until it struck the ground. The pilot and passenger were killed on impact. The ultralight was substantially damaged.

The accident occurred in daylight around 1740 EDT at latitude 48°09'N and longitude 077°46'W.

1.2 Injuries to Persons

	Crew	Passengers	Others	Total	
Fatal	1	1	-	2	

Serious	-	-	-	-
Minor/None	-	-	-	-
Total	1	1	-	2

1.3 Damage to Aircraft

The ultralight was heavily damaged and sustained severe deformation.

1.4 Other Damage

None.

1.5 Personnel Information

	Pilot- in-Command
Age	35
Pilot Licence	PPL - Ultralight
Medical Expiry Date	01 Nov 1994
Total Flying Hours	102+
Hours on Type	90+
Hours Last 90 Days	N/A
Hours on Type	•
Last 90 Days	N/A
Hours on Duty	
Prior to	
Occurrence	0
Hours off Duty	
Prior to	
Work Period	24

The pilot occupied the left seat. He held a valid private ultralight pilot licence issued on 07 April 1993. The pilot's flying habits and his level of proficiency could not be accurately determined. His pilot log-book was incomplete after 19 December 1993. Up to that date, he had logged 102 flying hours; 90 hours were logged on type, and 23 of those were on C-IFHO. The pilot had flown the Tierra II regularly until the day of the accident.

1.5.1 Passenger Information

The passenger occupied the right seat, and had no aviation experience. He was a friend of the pilot.

1.6 Aircraft Information

All times are EDT (Coordinated Universal Time [UTC] minus four hours) unless otherwise stated.

² See Glossary for all abbreviations and acronyms.

Manufacturer	Tieratorn
Type and Model	Tierra II
Year of Manufacture	1984
Serial Number	3457715
Certificate of	
Airworthiness	
(Flight Permit)	Not required
Total Airframe Time	approx. 400 hr
Engine Type	**
(number of)	Rotax 583 (1)
Propeller/Rotor Type	. ,
(number of)	two-blade (1)
Maximum Allowable	**
Take-off Weight	195 kg
Recommended Fuel	
Type(s)	automotive with 2% oil
Fuel Type Used	automotive with 2% oil
• •	

The airframe was constructed of aluminum alloy tubing. The wings and tail section were covered with Dacron fabric. The closed cabin contained two seats placed side-by-side. The aircraft was equipped with floats.

The aircraft was imported from the United States in 1984. The pilot had owned the aircraft since September 1993. The ownership transfer certificate was not completed at the time of purchase. Consequently, the previous owner's name appeared on the registration certificate of the ultralight.

Since the date of importation into Canada, the aircraft had sustained accident damage on three occasions, and had had at least ten engine failures requiring an emergency landing. The last in-flight engine failure occurred in the winter of 1994.

The aircraft had accidental damage when the pilot purchased it. The tail of the aircraft had been damaged in an emergency landing following an engine failure. The pilot repaired the airframe and replaced the complete skin of the aircraft. He also replaced the engine with a more powerful unit.

Contrary to the Canadian nationality and registration requirements of the *Air Regulations*, Series II, No. 1, the aircraft bore no nationality or registration markings.

There were several signs of excessive wear at the structural attachment points. In addition, some assembly anomalies were noted. The assembly manual for the Tierra II states that the main wing spars should be 2.25 inches in diameter. Examination revealed that the left main wing spar had a diameter of 2.00 inches, and the right main wing spar had a diameter of 2.25 inches. Also, the right main spar had a single tube thickness at the root and the left had a double thickness. It was also noted that the right spar tip consisted of three thicknesses of tube while the left had only two thicknesses.

The two left wing attachment points at the fuselage were not modified to fit the smaller spar diameter as prescribed by the manufacturer. The left wing chord was smaller than that of the right wing.

1.7 Meteorological Information

Meteorological conditions were favourable for the visual flight as planned. The regular observation at 1700 EDT by the Atmospheric Environment Service at Val d'Or Airport, Quebec, located about 8 km south of Blouin Lake, reported clear skies, visibility 15 miles, temperature 18 degrees Celsius, and winds from the southwest at three knots.

1.8 Aids to Navigation

The use of aids to navigation was not necessary for this flight.

1.9 Communications

As the aircraft was not equipped with radios, no radio transmissions were made.

1.10 Accident Site

The aircraft crashed on private property about 75 feet from Blouin Lake. The crash occurred in a lightly wooded area.

1.11 Wreckage and Impact Information

The ultralight crashed on its left side. The cockpit partly collapsed at impact. Witnesses and the examinations of the engine and propeller confirmed that the engine was functioning at the time of the crash.

Examination of the aircraft revealed that the left wing and its two spars sustained upward and rearward twisting stresses. The wings remained attached to the airframe.

1.12 Medical Information

The pilot's category III medical licence validation certificate was valid and carried no restrictions.

Toxicological test results for the presence of drugs revealed the presence of cocaine and cocaine metabolites in the urine of the pilot. It could not be determined when the pilot had consumed cocaine.

1.13 Survival Aspects

The occupants were wearing their seat-belts. However, they were not wearing helmets as prescribed in the regulations in force at the time of the accident. Survivable space in the cabin was reduced on ground impact.

1.14 Tests and Research

The wreckage was examined at the accident site and subsequently transported to the TSB Engineering Branch Laboratory in Ottawa, Ontario, for more detailed examination. Examination of the flight control systems revealed no evidence of failure prior to the inflight failure of the ultralight wing. Examination of the debris revealed that all fractures were caused by instantaneous overload. Damage caused by the crash could not be distinguished from that which may have existed prior to the accident. The observed

damage indicates that the left wing failed in flight.

Analysis of the stresses acting on the left wing revealed that the rear spar was possibly deformed when the wing struck the surface of the lake.

Analysis of the aerodynamic forces acting on the wings under normal flight conditions indicates that the left wing must have failed as a result of pre-existing damage.

1.15 Additional Information

1.15.1 Regulations on the Carrying of Passengers in Ultralight Aircraft

The pilot was not licensed to fly with a passenger on board. Air Navigation Order Series V, No. 24, states that carrying a passenger in an ultralight aircraft is prohibited except for the purpose of giving dual flying instruction to that person. The Transport Canada *Personnel Licensing Handbook* states that flying instruction must be conducted under the direct supervision of a qualified instructor.

2.0 Analysis

The investigation did not reveal when the pilot consumed cocaine. Therefore, it cannot be established whether physiological factors affected the pilot's performance.

Meteorological conditions were favourable for VFR flight. The aircraft was probably not affected by the weather.

The witnesses observed the aircraft in straight and level cruise flight when the left wing suddenly failed. All fractures occurred as a result of instantaneous overload; examination of the ultralight systems revealed no anomalies. Consequently, the wing failure must have been caused by a pre-existing structural weakness.

Although the left wing struck the surface of the water shortly before take-off, the collision did not cause the damage observed on the front spar. However, the rear wing spar could have been sufficiently deformed to cause damage to the wing structure.

The aircraft had undergone repairs on several occasions following accidents. During one repair job, the left wing main spar was replaced by a spar with a diameter smaller than that specified by the manufacturer, which shortened the left wing chord. The way in which the left wing had to be assembled, given the shorter wing chord and the fact that the wing attachment points at the fuselage were not modified, could have created internal stresses in the structure.

These stresses could have caused overload failure in a wing component when the left wing struck the surface of the water just before take-off, and this would have resulted in structural weakening of the left wing, leading to the inflight failure shortly after.

3.0 Conclusions

3.1 Findings

- 1. The pilot was not licensed to fly with a passenger on board.
- 2. During an attempted take-off, the left wing-tip struck the surface of the lake.
- 3. The aircraft was in cruise flight when the left wing folded upward and towards the rear.
- 4. All fractures occurred as a result of instantaneous overload.
- 5. The left wing folded as a result of a pre-existing structural weakness.
- 6. The left wing main spar had been replaced by a spar with a diameter smaller than specified by the manufacturer.
- 7. The structural weakness could have been caused when the left wing-tip collided with the surface of the lake shortly before take-off.
- 8. Toxicological test results revealed the presence of cocaine and cocaine metabolites in the urine of the pilot.
- 9. It could not be determined whether physiological factors affected the pilot's performance.

3.2 Causes

The left wing of the ultralight failed in flight as a result of a pre-existing structural weakness which could have been caused when the left wing-tip struck the surface of the water shortly before take-off.

6

4.0 Safety Action

The Board has no aviation safety recommendations to issue at this time.

This report concludes the Transportation Safety Board's investigation into this occurrence. Consequently, the Board, consisting of Chairperson John W. Stants, and members Zita Brunet and Hugh MacNeil, authorized the release of this report on 10 July 1995.

Appendix A - List of Supporting Reports

The following TSB Engineering Branch Laboratory report was completed:

LP 142/94 - Left Wing Failure Analysis.

This report is available upon request from the Transportation Safety Board of Canada.

Appendix B - Glossary

EDT eastern daylight saving time

hr hour(s)
kg kilogram(s)
km kilometre(s)
N/A not available

PPL private pilot licence

TSB Transportation Safety Board of Canada

UTC Coordinated Universal Time

VFR visual flight rules

' minute(s)
o degree(s)

TSB OFFICES

HEAD OFFICE

HULL, QUEBEC*

Place du Centre 4th Floor

200 Promenade du Portage

Hull, Quebec K1A 1K8

Phone (819) 994-3741

(819) 997-2239 Facsimile

ENGINEERING

Engineering Laboratory 1901 Research Road Gloucester, Ontario

K1A 1K8

Phone (613) 998-8230 (613) 998-

24 Hours 3425

Facsimile (613) 998-5572

REGIONAL OFFICES

ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND

Marine Centre Baine Johnston 10 Place Fort William

1st Floor St. John's, Newfoundland

A1C 1K4

(709) 772-4008 (709) 772-5806 Phone Facsimile

GREATER HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA*

Marine

Metropolitain Place 11th Floor 99 Wyse Road Dartmouth, Nova Scotia

B3A 4S5

Phone

(902) 426-2348 (902) 426-

24 Hours 8043

Facsimile (902) 426-5143

MONCTON, NEW BRUNSWICK

Pipeline, Rail and Air 310 Baig Boulevard Moncton, New Brunswick

E1E 1C8

Phone (506) 851-7141 (506) 851-

24 Hours 7381

(506) 851-7467 Facsimile

GREATER MONTREAL, QUEBEC*

Pipeline, Rail and Air 185 Dorval Avenue Suite 403

Dorval, Quebec H9S 5J9

Phone (514) 633-3246 24 Hours (514) 633-

3246

Facsimile (514) 633-2944

GREATER QUÉBEC, QUEBEC*

Marine, Pipeline and Rail 1091 Chemin St. Louis

Room 100 Sillery, Quebec G1S 1E2

Phone

(418) 648-3576 (418) 648-24 Hours

3576

Facsimile (418) 648-3656

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA Pipeline, Rail and Air

335 - 550 Century Street Winnipeg, Manitoba R3H 0Y1

Phone

Facsimile

Phone (204) 983-5991 24 Hours (204)

GREATER TORONTO, ONTARIO

(905) 771-7676

(905) 771-7709

(519) 882-3703

(519) 882-3705

(905)

Marine, Pipeline, Rail and Air

23 East Wilmot Street

Richmond Hill, Ontario

PETROLIA, ONTARIO

Pipeline and Rail 4495 Petrolia Street

P.O. Box 1599 Petrolia, Ontario N0N 1R0

L4B 1A3

24 Hours

771-7676

Facsimile

Phone

983-5548

Facsimile (204) 983-8026

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

Pipeline, Rail and Air 17803 - 106 A Avenue Edmonton, Alberta

T5S 1V8 Phone (403) 495-3865 24 Hours (403)

495-3999 Facsimile (403) 495-2079

CALGARY, ALBERTA

Pipeline and Rail Sam Livingstone Building 510 - 12th Avenue SW Room 210, P.O. Box 222

Calgary, Alberta T2R 0X5

Phone (403) 299-3911 24 Hours (403)299-3912

(403) 299-3913 Facsimile

GREATER VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Marine, Pipeline, Rail and Air 4 - 3071 Number Five Road Richmond, British Columbia V6X 2T4

Phone (604) 666-5826 24 Hours (604)

666-5826

(604) 666-7230 Facsimile

^{*}Services available in both official languages