



TSB Recommendation A18-05

Timing of post-landing procedures

The Transportation Safety Board of Canada recommends that the Department of Transport work with operators to amend standard operating procedures so that post-landing checks are sequenced only after landing aircraft are clear of both active runways when closely spaced parallel runway operations are in effect, rather than the current common practice of sequencing the checks once landing aircraft are clear of the landing surface.

Air transportation safety investigation report	A17O0038
Date the recommendation was issued	31 January 2019
Date of the latest response	September 2023
Date of the latest assessment	February 2024
Rating of the latest response	Unsatisfactory
File status	Active

Summary of the occurrence

Air Transportation Safety Issue Investigation A17O0038 examined 27 runway incursions that occurred between June 2012 and November 2017 at 2 closely spaced parallel runways known as the “south complex” at Toronto/Lester B. Pearson International Airport (CYYZ), Ontario. The 27 cases studied were not the only incursions at CYYZ during that period. However, their number and similarity raised concern and led the Transportation Safety Board of Canada (TSB) to examine them more closely as a group, in order to determine their systemic underlying causes and contributing factors and to assess the degree of ongoing risk.

All of the incursions occurred on the inner runway (Runway 06L/24R) after the flight crews involved had landed on the outer runway (Runway 06R/24L), had been instructed by air traffic control (ATC) to hold short of Runway 06L/24R, and, despite intending to stop, had missed the visual cues depicting the runway holding positions.

The taxiway layout between the runways has several characteristics that are uncommon compared to those at other airports, both within North America and internationally. The runways are spaced a relatively short distance (305 m [1000 feet]) apart, and the rapid exit taxiways (RETs) provide direct access to the adjacent runway without first progressing to

another transitional surface. The runway holding positions are located immediately following a 65° curve and are situated at greater distances from the protected inner runway than they are at other airports.

Regional airlines that are based in the United States and that operate regional jets were involved in a disproportionate number of the incursions, both in total and in terms of the rate of incursions per landing. This was likely due to foreign flight crews being unfamiliar with the uncommon taxiway layout between the parallel runways at CYYZ and to the increased speed at which their smaller aircraft types often approached the runway holding positions.

It is for these reasons that some foreign flight crews did not anticipate the location of the stopping position on each RET and so did not direct their attention outside the aircraft at the required time to identify the visual cues indicating the runway holding positions.

Most of the flight crews were aware of the south complex areas at increased risk for runway incursions because they are designated as “hot spots” on the airport charts supplied to crews. However, that guidance, together with limitations in operators’ requirements for taxi briefings, did not bring crews’ attention to specific strategies to mitigate the risk of incursion. Instead, the crews followed their usual routines after exiting the landing runway and proceeded with their post-landing checks. The timing of those tasks distracted them at a point when limited time was available to recognize the visual cues requiring them to stop, and contributed to their overlooking those cues.

In the occurrences examined in this study, ATC recognized the incursions quickly and took appropriate actions that either caused the incurring aircraft to stop or reduced the severity of the consequences. As a result, most of the aircraft did not reach the inner runway surface. Of the 3 that did reach the surface, 2 were at an intersection beyond the point at which the departing aircraft presented a risk of collision. In the 3rd case, ATC cancelled the takeoff clearance for the departing aircraft before it began its take-off roll.

In another occurrence, ATC instructed the incurring aircraft to stop before it had reached the runway surface, then immediately told the departing aircraft to abort its takeoff. The crew of the departing flight did not recognize the instruction to abort because the phraseology was unfamiliar and because it was not repeated as they were used to; as a result, they continued their departure. The incurring aircraft stopped before reaching the runway surface, and the departing aircraft overflew the intersection without further event.

International guidance for the prevention of runway incursions recommends that, once areas presenting a hazard of incursion have been identified, strategies to manage or mitigate that risk should be implemented and should include awareness campaigns, additional visual aids, alternate routings, or, ultimately, the construction of new taxiways.

Various awareness campaigns and advisories have been issued since 2012, and visual aids have undergone progressive but significant improvements. Those strategies have likely resulted in periodic, but not permanent, reductions in the incidence of incursions.

Revising the post-landing procedures of flight crews may lead to increased vigilance and reduced distraction, but it is unlikely to eliminate crews' expectations that visual cues will be situated in common locations or induce crews to reduce their taxiing speeds so that they have more time to recognize the cues.

All but one of the applicable strategies recommended by international guidance have been implemented on the south complex; the remaining strategy is to make physical changes to the taxiway layout. A change of this scale may be required to increase the distance and taxiing time between runway holding positions, reduce the taxiing speeds of aircraft approaching hold-short locations, and prevent direct access to adjacent runways from RETs. Among the possible reconfigurations for achieving these objectives is the addition of an intermediate taxiway between the runways and parallel to them, as found at numerous airports with parallel runways, and the re-situating of visual cues in common locations.

The Board concluded its investigation and released report A1700038 on 31 January 2019.

Rationale for the recommendation

Once an aircraft has landed on a runway and the landing roll is complete, the flight crew must perform a series of post-landing tasks. As detailed in this investigation, during normal operations, most flight crews begin these tasks when the aircraft is clear of the landing runway, in accordance with their operator's standard operating procedures (SOPs). These tasks, or checklists, are usually brief and occupy only a small amount of one or both of the flight crew members' attention.

At airports that have closely spaced parallel runways, aircraft that have landed on the outer runway normally exit the runway via a rapid exit taxiway, which sometimes terminates directly on the inner runway. In most cases, ATC will instruct flight crews to hold short of this runway because the runway is in use by other departing or arriving traffic. In these cases, it is essential that, immediately after exiting the landing runway, flight crews focus their attention on acquiring the visual cues necessary to identify the runway holding position in order to prevent the aircraft from incurring on the other active runway.

Most operators' SOPs require that the post-landing checks be conducted once the aircraft is clear of the landing runway. However, as shown in this investigation, if these SOPs are followed when flight crews are operating on closely spaced parallel runways, they may focus their attention inside the cockpit at a time when their full attention and visual focus are required outside. As a result of this distraction, flight crews may miss the visual cues and incur on the active runway, which presents a significant risk of collision.

In 2012, the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) published guidance for operators to help them develop and implement SOPs to prevent runway incursions. Although this guidance advises flight crews to initiate non-essential post-landing actions—such as raising flaps or adjusting trim—after the aircraft is clear of all active runways, it does not propose any specific amendments to post-landing SOPs that reflect this advice. None of the operators involved in the

occurrences studied had incorporated any changes to their post-landing SOPs to address this concern.

Therefore, the Board recommended that

the Department of Transport work with operators to amend standard operating procedures so that post-landing checks are sequenced only after landing aircraft are clear of both active runways when closely spaced parallel runway operations are in effect, rather than the current common practice of sequencing the checks once landing aircraft are clear of the landing surface.

TSB Recommendation A18-05

Previous responses and assessments

May 2019: response from Transport Canada

Transport Canada (TC) agrees in principle with the recommendation.

Given the potentially catastrophic consequences associated with runway incursions, TC believes that continued efforts to further reduce the likelihood of these serious occurrences are warranted. The report identifies actions already taken by multiple stakeholders, including operators, the airport authority and NAV CANADA to mitigate the risks of runway incursions in the South Complex. The report also states that, post-landing checks are “usually brief and occupy only a small amount of one or both of the flight crew member’s attention” (Section 4.2.2) and there are many factors that crews will take into account in managing the timing of these checks.

As such, a blanket provision requiring crews to delay post-landing checks could also introduce additional risks to the system. For example, during winter weather, delaying the retraction of flaps and spoilers could lead to issues related to contamination of retraction mechanisms.

TC believes that the most effective means to prevent these and other incidents is to provide crews with the information to proactively manage threats in their operating environment. To this end TC has:

- Incorporated Threat and Error Management (TEM) as a key component of AC700-042 Crew Resource Management (CRM). In keeping with the latest developments in CRM training, crews will receive regular training in threat and error management to assist with the identification and analysis of potential hazards and the implementation of appropriate strategies to handle threats.
- Consulted with airline operators on this recommendation to ensure they are aware of the potential for runway incursions in the South Complex at CYYZ and continue to monitor the situation through their Safety Management Systems (SMS). These consultations served to confirm that operators have best practices for preventing runway incursions incorporated into their SOPs (e.g., briefing of hot spots in the taxi route; both crew members to be heads up approaching and crossing a runway). These consultations also indicated that several major operators SOPs already

include provisions for timing checks once cleared of both parallel runways. TC will continue to work with operators to monitor the effectiveness of operator SOPs in the prevention of runway incursions in the South Complex.

- Consulted with counterparts at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). TC facilitated identifying the United States operators who were predominantly involved in these occurrences so that the FAA flight operations inspectors were able to effectively target their follow up activities.

Furthermore, the report identifies the role of flight crew expectancy as a principal contributor to these runway incursions. Simply stated, the crews involved were aware of the need to hold short of runway 24R/06L and were planning to do so, but were not expecting to reach the hold line as quickly as they did. Section 3.1.2 identifies the following contributing factors:

- All crews involved were aware of need to stop but did not recognize the hold line position (Finding 1);
- Airport charts included general warnings of incursions in these locations but “lacked sufficient information to impart a clear understanding of the risk or lead the crews to take effective mitigating measures.” (Finding 2);
- Operator SOPs did not require crews to discuss how situations would be addressed in approach briefs (no management of threats) (Finding 3). As a result, crews did not adjust normal routines and one crew member was heads down when approaching the hold line (Finding 4);
- Many operators had SOPs requiring both crew members to be heads up approaching hot spots and runway crossings (Finding 5);
- Due to the uncommon location of runway holding positions crew members who were looking outside were not looking in the right place for hold lines and available visual cues were dismissed because they did not conform to the crew’s mental model of the situation (Findings 6 & 7).

These findings emphasize that being heads up is important, but not sufficient, to prevent these types of runway incursions. Crews must be anticipating the need to stop at the appropriate hold location. The role of crew expectations is also demonstrated by the fact that Canadian operators were under-represented in these occurrences with only 5 of the 27 occurrences examined involving Canadian operators.

Recognizing the multiple causal factors that contribute to this safety issue, TC recognizes the importance and will continue to work with airports, NAV CANADA, and airlines to look at other opportunities to reduce the number of incursions.

We thank the TSB for their comprehensive work on this important issue and we continue to work with stakeholders to reduce the risks associated with runway incursions.

August 2019: TSB assessment of the response (Satisfactory in Part)

In its response, Transport Canada (TC) indicated that it agrees in principle with Recommendation A18-05. However, TC is concerned that a blanket provision requiring crews to delay post-landing checks could introduce additional risks to the system. TC believes that the most effective means to prevent runway incursions and other serious incidents is to provide crews with information to proactively manage threats in their operating environment. To this end, the following actions have been taken:

- TC has consulted with air operators to ensure they are aware of the potential for runway incursions in the south complex at CYYZ and are monitoring the situation through their company SMS.
- TC has consulted with the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and assisted in the identification of the U.S. air operators involved in the occurrences examined by the TSB so that FAA flight operations inspectors are able to effectively target their follow-up activities.

TC's response accurately details the fact that the incursions examined in this investigation have many underlying contributory factors. To address the on-going risk, each of these factors needs to be addressed to ensure that the overall risk is reduced as much as possible.

One significant contributing factor identified in the investigation was that flight crews were distracted by completing post-landing checks during the brief amount of time they had available to identify the holding position. The crews executed these checks at this specific time as this was the practice outlined in their standard operating procedures.

As stated in TC's response, and in the investigation report, several major operators have already amended their procedures to include provisions for timing these checks once clear of both parallel runways. These amendments indicate that the operators assessed that the additional potential risks created by delaying the checks, such as the contamination issue suggested in TC's response, was less than the known risk of distraction at a time that is critical to preventing runway incursions.

Although TC has consulted with air operators and the FAA, and the actions taken may help mitigate the risk of runway incursions, further safety action needs to be taken by TC to ensure that the safety benefits of re-examining the timing of completing post-landing checks are not isolated to these individual operators.

Therefore, the Board considers the response to Recommendation A18-05 to be **Satisfactory in Part**.

September 2020: response from Transport Canada

Transport Canada (TC) agrees in principle with the recommendation.

In its initial response to this recommendation TC indicated that, although it agrees in principle with the recommendation, it would not require operators to modify their Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to address an issue at a single airport. Instead, TC outlined multiple actions taken to reduce the risks of runway incursions and committed to continuing to work with stakeholders to reduce the risks associated with runway incursions.

TC recognizes the need for continuous efforts to reduce the risk of runway incursions and has committed to work with airports, NAV CANADA, and airlines to look at opportunities to reduce the number of incursions. These collaborative efforts are now being coordinated through the auspices of the newly formed Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursions (RSATSC)¹ – a committee co-chaired by TC and NAV CANADA, with membership from affected airports and airlines. RSAT-SC held its first meeting on 14 July 2020, and intends to meet on a monthly basis through 2021.

While the core purpose of RSAT-SC is to reduce the risks of runway incursions, the sub-committee was created as part of a data-sharing pilot project being led by TC and NAV CANADA through the NAV CANADA chaired Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT). The TCCA RSAT Data and Information Sharing Pilot² is intended to enable active government-industry aviation safety data and information sharing by addressing data protection concerns (RSAT-SC presents a test case for this collaboration).

Getting underway in the summer of 2020 and running through 2021, the objectives of the pilot project are to: identify basic conditions necessary for voluntary industry/regulator data and information sharing; allow initial data and information sharing to begin on an experimental basis in a manner that supports RSAT and TCCA priorities; and, to collaboratively develop a model for broader data and information sharing in the future. Given the importance of collaboration between operators, airports, air navigation services and the regulator to reduce the risks of runway incursions, the pilot project is seen as an important initiative.

December 2020: TSB assessment of the response (Satisfactory in Part)

In its latest response, Transport Canada (TC) reiterated that it agrees in principle with this recommendation; however, it will not require operators to modify their standard operating procedures (SOPs) to address an issue at a single airport. Instead, TC will continue work to address runway incursions in general, through continued work with stakeholders.

While the progress made toward addressing runway incursions in general, as detailed in the response, is notable, it should be clarified that TSB Recommendation A18-05 does not address a single airport as stated in TC's response. Recommendation A18-05 is meant to address operations of Canadian operators into any airport worldwide where closely spaced parallel runway operations are in effect.

¹ Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursions (RSAT-SC) – Terms of Reference.

² TCCA-RSAT Data and Information Sharing Pilot – Terms of Reference.

While this runway arrangement may be uncommon in Canada, it is more common at busy airports around the world, which are frequented by Canadian air operators. As these Canadian air operators use SOPs that follow standards and regulations laid out by TC, the TSB recommended that TC work with operators to amend and improve these SOPs.

Therefore, the response to Recommendation A18-05 is assessed as **Satisfactory in Part**.

September 2021: response from Transport Canada

Transport Canada (TC) agrees in principle with the recommendation.

Since the recommendation was issued in January 2019, Transport Canada (TC) believed that the most effective means to prevent runway incursions and other serious incidents is to provide crews with the information to proactively manage threats in their operating environment. To this end, TC has incorporated Threat and Error Management (TEM) as a key component of Advisory Circular (AC) 700-042 *Crew Resource Management (CRM)*,³ consulted with airline operators to ensure they are aware of the potential for runway incursions in the South Complex at CYYZ and continues to monitor the situation through their Safety Management Systems (SMS) and, finally, consulted with counterparts at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in identifying the United States operators who were predominantly involved in these occurrences so that the FAA flight operations inspectors were able to effectively target their follow up activities.

In our last update in September 2020, the Department recognized the importance of the safety issue and to this end, a Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursions (RSAT-SC), a committee co-chaired by TC and NAV CANADA, with membership from affected airports and airlines, was formed in 2020. The RSAT-SC will collaboratively look at opportunities to reduce the number of runway incursions.

The RSAT-SC committed to meet on a monthly basis and intended to meet on a monthly basis through 2021 and identify basic conditions necessary for voluntary industry/regulator data and information sharing; allow initial data and information sharing to begin on an experimental basis in a manner that supports RSAT and TC priorities; and, to collaboratively develop a model for broader data and information sharing in the future.

Due to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, the RSAT-SC activities have been temporarily suspended. TC will continue to support the work of the sub-committee when it resumes.

March 2022: TSB assessment of the response (Satisfactory in Part)

In its latest response, Transport Canada (TC) reiterated that it agrees in principle with Recommendation A18-05 and believes that the most effective means to prevent runway

³ Transport Canada (2020). Advisory Circular (AC) 700-042 - *Crew Resource Management (CRM)*. Available at: <https://tc.canada.ca/en/aviation/reference-centre/advisory-circulars/advisory-circular-ac-no-700-042>

incursions is to provide flight crews with the information to proactively manage threats in their operating environment. TC further indicated that it plans to continue to support the work of the Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursions (RSAT-SC), co-chaired by TC and NAV CANADA, once activities resume following the temporary suspension of the RSAT-SC due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

As noted in previous reassessments, the Board acknowledges the steps taken to address the risk of runway incursions in general and supports the resumption and future efforts of the RSAT-SC. However, TC's response does not provide a clear framework for how it plans to reduce the risks associated with the timing and sequencing of post-landing checks, and it does not take into account the safety deficiencies identified in the recommendation.

Therefore, the response to Recommendation A18-05 is assessed as **Satisfactory in Part**.

October 2022: response from Transport Canada

Transport Canada (TC) agrees in principle with the recommendation.⁴

Since the recommendation was issued in January 2019, Transport Canada (TC) believed that the most effective means to prevent runway incursions and other serious incidents is to provide crews with the information to proactively manage threats in their operating environment. To this end, TC has incorporated Threat and Error Management (TEM) as a key component of Advisory Circular (AC) 700-042 *Crew Resource Management (CRM)*⁵, consulted with airline operators to ensure they are aware of the potential for runway incursions in the South Complex at CYYZ and continue to monitor the situation through their Safety Management Systems (SMS) and, finally, consulted with counterparts at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in identifying the United States operators who were predominantly involved in these occurrences so that the FAA flight operations inspectors were able to effectively target their follow up activities.

In our last update in September 2021, the Department recognized the importance of the safety issue and to this end, a Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursions (RSAT-SC), a committee co-chaired by TC and NAV CANADA, with membership from affected airports and airlines, was formed in 2020. The aim of the RSAT-SC was to collaboratively look at opportunities to reduce the number of runway incursions.

The RSAT-SC intended to meet on a monthly basis through 2021 and identify basic conditions necessary for voluntary industry/regulator data and information sharing; allow initial data and

⁴ Responses are those of the stakeholders to the TSB in written communications and are reproduced in full. The TSB corrects typographical errors and accessibility issues in the material it reproduces without indication but uses brackets [] to show other changes or to show that part of the response was omitted because it was not pertinent.

⁵ Transport Canada (2020). Advisory Circular (AC) 700-042 - *Crew Resource Management (CRM)*. Available at: <https://tc.canada.ca/en/aviation/reference-centre/advisory-circulars/advisory-circular-ac-no-700-042>

information sharing to begin on an experimental basis in a manner that supports RSAT and TC priorities; and, to collaboratively develop a model for broader data and information sharing in the future.

Due to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, the RSAT-SC activities have been suspended.

However, TC continues to actively participate in the Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT) (formerly the Runway Safety and Incursion Prevention Panel – RSIPP). Chaired by NAV CANADA and including a broad range of stakeholders, the group’s aim is to serve as a national forum for exchanging information to reduce the risks associated with runway incursions. TC participation in this forum will allow active involvement in efforts to mitigate the risks associated with runway incursions.

February 2023: TSB assessment of the response (Unable to assess)

In its latest response, Transport Canada (TC) reiterated that it agrees in principle with the recommendation.

Since the issuance of Recommendation A18-05 in January 2019, TC has maintained that the most effective means to prevent runway incursions is to provide flight crews with the information to proactively manage threats in their operating environment. To that end, a Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursions (RSAT-SC) was formed in 2020. The goal of the committee, which was co-chaired by TC and NAV CANADA and whose members included affected airports and airlines, is to collaboratively reduce the number of runway incursions. However, as indicated by TC, the RSAT-SC activities have been suspended due to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.

TC indicated that it continues to participate in the Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT), which is chaired by NAV CANADA and serves as a national forum for exchanging information among key stakeholders to reduce the risks associated runway incursions. However, the Board remains concerned about the lack of a concrete action plan to reduce the risks associated with the timing and sequencing of post-landing checks, and the lack of a clear framework to address the risks associated with runway incursions.

In October 2022, the TSB issued the 7th edition of its Watchlist. Watchlist 2022 includes runway incursions (which has been on the Watchlist since 2010) and underscores the rise from an average rate in 5.3 incursions per 100 000 arrivals and departures in 2010 to an average of 10.7 in 2021.

As noted in previous reassessments, the Board acknowledges the steps taken by TC to address the risk of runway incursions in general; however, with the indefinite suspension of RSAT-SC activities, the increase of runway incursions in Canada, and the lack of a definitive action plan to address the recommendation, the risks associated with the safety deficiency remain significant.

Therefore, the Board is **unable to assess** TC’s response to Recommendation A18-05.

Latest response and assessment

September 2023: response from Transport Canada

Transport Canada (TC) agrees in principle with the recommendation.

Safety deficiency and recommendation

During Safety Issue Investigation A1700038 into instances of runway incursions between the parallel runways at Toronto Lester B. Pearson International Airport, the Board found that the attention of one of the crew members was often focused on performing post-landing checks when the aircraft incurred on the inner runway. As a result, the Board issued recommendation A18-05, which suggested:

the Department of Transport work with operators to amend standard operating procedures so that post-landing checks are sequenced only after landing aircraft are clear of both active runways when closely spaced parallel runway operations are in effect, rather than the current common practice of sequencing the checks once landing aircraft are clear of the landing surface.

Initial and ongoing response to recommendation (specific to issue and recommendation)

In its initial response to the Recommendation in 2019, TC indicated that it agreed in principle with the recommendation given that the potentially catastrophic consequences associated with runway incursions warranted ongoing efforts to reduce the risks associated with these events.

However, TC believed the recommendation was overly specific to a single airport and could introduce additional risks to the system. Therefore, TC elected not to mandate amendments to operators' standard operating procedures, but indicated it had taken steps to provide crews with information to proactively manage the threats of runway incursions through the publication of an advisory circular on Crew Resource Management⁶ and coordination with airline operators and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to ensure awareness of the issue in Toronto.

TC's position on this specific recommendation remains unchanged. Although it will not mandate changes to standard operating procedures, it will continue to support industry efforts to continually reduce the risks associated with runway incursions.

In its latest update on this recommendation in the fall of 2022, TC committed to actively participate in the Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT) (formerly the Runway Safety and Incursion Prevention Panel – RSIPP). Chaired by NAV CANADA and including a broad range of stakeholders, the group's aim is to serve as a national forum for exchanging information to reduce the risks associated with runway incursions. TC participation in this forum will allow active involvement in efforts to mitigate the risks associated with runway incursions.

⁶ Transport Canada (2020). Advisory Circular (AC) 700-042 - *Crew Resource Management (CRM)*. Available at: <https://tc.canada.ca/en/aviation/reference-centre/advisory-circulars/advisory-circular-ac-no-700-042>

This year, TC has participated in two Runway Safety Action Team meetings that were held in concert with the Canadian Aviation Safety Officer Partnership meetings held in Victoria, BC, in October and Winnipeg, MB, in May. These meetings provided an excellent opportunity for TC and industry to discuss initiatives being taken to continually reduce the risks associated with runway incursions in Canada. For example, at the latest meeting, NAV CANADA and industry shared best practices and guidance material available to assist in forming runway safety teams to facilitate information exchange between airports, aircraft operators, air navigation services and other stakeholders. Transport Canada will continue to participate in this worthwhile forum.

RSAT – SC: Significance to Recommendation A18-05 and the 2022 TSB Watchlist item on runway incursions

In its latest review of TC’s response to this recommendation, the Board downgraded its assessment from “satisfactory in part” to “unable to assess”, largely because of the suspension of the activities of the Runway Safety Action Team Sub-Committee on Runway Incursion (RSAT-SC).

It should be noted that this sub-committee is distinct from the larger activities of the Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT) described above. The RSAT-SC was formed as a pilot project that aimed to identify basic conditions necessary for voluntary industry/regulator data and information sharing and allow initial data and information sharing to begin on an experimental basis; and, to collaboratively develop a model for broader data and information sharing in the future. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, the RSAT-SC activities were suspended.

However, it should be noted that the RSAT-SC was formed for the specific purpose of using runway incursion occurrences as means of addressing larger data sharing issues. Although this specific pilot has been suspended, as noted above, TC continues to support the wider efforts of the Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT).

As noted in the 2022 TSB Watchlist: “reducing the risk of runway incursions is a complex issue and requires collaboration from all sectors of the air transportation industry.” TC believes that forums such as RSAT provide the most effective means for the ongoing reduction of risks associated with runway incursions.

February 2024: TSB assessment of the response (Unsatisfactory)

In its latest response, Transport Canada (TC) reiterated that it agrees in principle with the recommendation; however, its position on this recommendation remains unchanged.

Since the issuance of Recommendation A18-05 in 2019, TC has maintained it will not mandate changes to standard operating procedures (SOPs) (so that post-landing checks are sequenced only after landing aircraft are clear of both active runways when closely spaced parallel runway operations are in effect). Alternatively, TC incorporated threat and error management as a key component of Advisory Circular 700-042 *Crew Resource Management (CRM)* in March 2020. In addition, TC will continue to support industry efforts to reduce the risks associated with runway incursions through coordination with airline operators and the Federal Aviation

Administration of the United States to ensure awareness of the issue at Lester B. Pearson International Airport in Toronto. TC further confirmed its ongoing participation to the efforts of the Runway Safety Action Team (RSAT).

According to TC, its position is based on the belief that the recommendation is overly specific to a single airport and could introduce additional risks to the system such as, during winter, delaying the retraction of flaps and spoilers could lead to issues related to contamination of retraction mechanisms. In previous assessments, the TSB has clarified that the recommendation does not address a single airport. The intent of the recommendation is to address operations of Canadian operators at any airport worldwide where closely spaced parallel runway operations are in effect.

To this end, TC participated in two RSAT meetings in 2023 (May and October, respectively) that were held in concert with the Canadian Aviation Safety Officer Partnership. According to TC, these meetings provided an excellent opportunity for the Department and industry to discuss initiatives being taken to reduce the risks associated with runway incursions in Canada. In particular, TC noted that at the latest RSAT meeting, NAV CANADA and industry shared best practices and guidance material available to assist in forming runway safety teams to facilitate information exchange between airports, aircraft operators, air navigation services, and other stakeholders.

While the Board acknowledges that reducing the risk of runway incursions is a complex issue and requires collaboration from all sectors of the air transportation industry, the recommendation specifies the need for TC to collaborate with operators to modify their SOPs.

The Board is pleased with TC's continued engagement with the RSAT and is supportive of the opportunities for TC and industry to facilitate sharing of information, guidance, and best practices. However, in the absence of planned action to address the recommendation, the risk associated with the safety deficiency remains.

Therefore, the Board considers the response to Recommendation A18-05 to be **Unsatisfactory**.

File status

The TSB will continue to closely monitor runway incursions at Canadian airports, and it will reassess the risk associated with the safety deficiency on an annual basis or when otherwise warranted.

This deficiency file is **Active**.